Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2014 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			(real of the tent
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 3	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 4	2	8	is there demonstrated community support? is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 🚣	1	x/	is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u><</u>	2	8	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL PO	DINTS:	95	
Add Preference	Points		
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10			Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		_5_	Length of Stay event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_5_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	Points		
· -1	10	**	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted or time
{	5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS	;	45	
Reviewer Con	flict of Intere	est:	
Comments;			
			DYES D NO Partial funding: \$ 5000 -

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the XXth of August, 2013

Gems	
Name of Applicant	

Matt Dadson
Name of Reviewer

19,0	00
------	----

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5	20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	<u>4</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL PO	INTS:	61	
Add Preference	<u>Points</u>		
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		_0_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		_5	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10			Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty I	Points		
-1	10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS	;	_76	
Reviewer Con	flict of Int	erest:	
Comments:			
Do you rocomm	and this n	roiset for funding:	T VES (I NO Partial funding: \$ 5000

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☐ YES ☐ NO Form # KCF 3008 Revised the XXth of August, 2013 Klamath Palle Genns: Name of Applicant all star Starner

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application **Selection Criteria Summary** (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	_8_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	_5	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4/</u>	2	_8_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	POINTS:	56	
Add Preference	e Points		
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		· _ !	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10			Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>8</u>	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalt	y Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POIN	тѕ	72	
Reviewer Co	onflict of Int	erest:	
Comments:			
Comments.			
			/ /

Do you recommend this project for funding: PYES INO Partial funding: \$5,000
Form #KCF 3008
Revised the XXth of August, 2013







Name of Applicant

Fall 20134 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score		Weight	Points		
(1-5)	ُ	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?	
(1-5) <u> </u>	- Authoritan	. 5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? is Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?	
(1-5) <u>\</u>	5	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?	
(1-5) <u>-</u>	<u>_</u> .	1	<u> </u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points-will-be-deducted-for-vague-or-rambling-responses.	
(1-5)	3	2	<u>().</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?	Formatted: Indent: Left: 2", Hanging: 0.25", Tab stops: Not at 2.5"
SUB-TO	TAL PO	INTS:	<u>ct</u>		
Add Pre	eference	<u>Points</u>			
1	0-10		A1113	Event held during the Shoulder Season - before June and after September	
1	0-10			Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary——Outlying Areas	
l	0-10		<u> </u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure	
	0-10		<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness ~	
Deduct	Penalty	Points			
	-1	0		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time	
	. إ	5		Vaque or rambling responses.	
TOTAL	POINTS	;	82	-	
Reviev	ver Con	flict of Intere	est:		_
Comme	ints:				_

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 32XX* of SeptemberAugust, 20131

Direcommed Landing



GEMS	
Name of Applicant	

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points Points	
(1-5)	5	5	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	<u>3</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	4	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1	<u>3</u> *	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL	. POINTS:	(<u>6</u>	
Add Prefere	nce Points		
0-1	0	<u></u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-1	0		Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-1	0	4	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-1	0	2_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Pena	alty Points		
	-10	0	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5	<u>O</u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POI	NTS	32	
Reviewer (Conflict of Inte	erest:	
Comments:			
·			,
			t con
Do you reco	ommend this prom # KCF 3008	oject for funding	g: X YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000
LOI	m# KCF 3006 vised the XX th of At		

Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE

Name of Reviewer

.....

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 4	5	10	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	27	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	<u>_B</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1	<u>\(\lambda \) \</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2	2	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:	54	
Add Preferenc	e Points	·	
0-10		_0_	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		_0_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		_8_	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_10_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	y Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINT	's	12	-
Reviewer Co	nflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:			
Do vou recom	mand this nro	iect for funding:	M YES ロ NO Partial funding: \$ 5.600