

Name of Applicant Yineas Ross Ragland
25 Anniversary (Silver)

Name of Reviewer B. Sullivan

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 63

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>6</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>8</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>6</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>6</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penally Points

-10	<u>0</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	----------	---

TOTAL POINTS 89

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 8950.00

Ross Randall

Name of Applicant

Matthew Dodson

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 3 5 15

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 5 5 25

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 4 2 8

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 3 1 3

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 3 2 6

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 57

Add Preference Points

0-10 10

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 3

Outlying Areas

0-10 5

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 6

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 83

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: How can we prove we are getting more overnight stays?

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 6000

Boss Regland
Name of Applicant

Lisa Galloway
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>49</u>	
Add Preference Points			
0-10		<u>10</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>3</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>3</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>3</u>	Family Friendliness
		<u>19</u>	
Deduct Penalty Points			
-10		<u> </u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		<u>66</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 6,000

Ross England
Name of Applicant

Don A
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>62</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>8</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>8</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>4</u>	Family Friendliness
		<u>25</u>	
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		<u>87</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 6000⁰⁰

RRT

Name of Applicant

MARQUEZ

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) _____	5	_____	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _____	5	_____	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _____	2	_____	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _____	1	_____	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _____	2	_____	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _____

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_____	Outlying Areas
0-10	_____	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_____	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	-------	---

TOTAL POINTS _____

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: Board member

Comments: _____


Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

RRT
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _____	5	_____	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _____	5	_____	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _____	2	_____	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _____	1	_____	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _____	2	_____	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		_____	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		_____	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		_____	Outlying Areas
0-10		_____	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_____	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		_____	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: RRT BOARD MEMBER 

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____